

PUBLIC BUILDING COMMISSION OF CHICAGO

ADDENDUM NO.03 TO CONTRACT NO. 1502
For

31st Street Harbor-Coastal
3155 S. Lake Shore Drive
NEW CONSTRUCTION

DATE: Friday, January 22, 2010

NOTICE OF CHANGES IN CONTRACT DOCUMENTS

The following changes are hereby made in the Contract Documents.

Changes to Book 1 PROJECT INFORMATION, INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS, AND EXECUTION DOCUMENTS:

Change 1: Rescheduled bid opening date and time: **Thursday, February 3, 2010 at 2PM**

Changes to Book 2 STANDARD TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS

Change 2: The attached Value Engineering Provision is hereby made a part of Book 2 as Article 25.

Questions and Answers;

Question 1:

Are the #4 Ties shown in detail 14/LS2.04 considered to be the rebar mat or are they additional bars?

Answer 1:

On sheet LS2.04, Details 12, 13 and 14, "#4 TIES @ 12" O.C. TOP AND BOTTOM" should read "#4 BARS EACH WAY TOP AND BOTTOM @ 12 INCHES ON CENTER". These are the rebar mat.

Question 2:

The Earthwork & Embankment specifications Section 2200 Paragraph 1.2.2.1 in Addendum #1 define satisfactory fill material as "GW, GP, SW, SP, SM, CL, ML properly worked within moisture content range which will readily facilitate compaction

In paragraph 2.3 of the same section defines General Fill as only CL, ML.

Can you please explain why only a Clay product will be acceptable for General Fill in the Peninsula Park, as well as why a granular material/aggregate (per Satisfactory Fill Paragraph 1.2.2.1) is not suitable for use as General Fill?

Answer 2:

The intent of this portion of the specifications was to insure adequate moisture retention in the fill soils through the requirement for clay loam fill adjacent to the tree planting zone. As this applies only to the tree planting zone, the restriction on general fill to be Clay Loam is limited to the tree planting zone identified on sheet LS203. Within this zone, Clay Loam as specified is required to a depth of 4' beneath the planting soil layer identified on detail 1, sheet LS103.

Outside of this zone, the use of other satisfactory fill materials identified in the specification is acceptable.

Mayor Richard M. Daley, Chairman

Erin Lavin Cabonargi, Executive Director

PUBLIC BUILDING COMMISSION OF CHICAGO

Question 3:

May the existing pier be utilized for tractor & trailer dump trucks for delivery of concrete choke stone, earth fill, and topsoil will be allowed, and will the existing dock structure will support concrete trucks & semi dump trucks.

Answer 3:

Use of the existing pier for construction and delivery purposes is acceptable, however the Contractor is responsible to protect all existing structures, and should verify the structural loading capacity of the existing structure prior to using it for any purpose. The loading capacity of the structure has not been determined; therefore we make no statement to the ability of the structure to support construction or delivery vehicles.

Question 4:

Why are no stone sources listed in Section 2485 in the 100% design?

Answer 4:

The AOR did not want to limit the options for potential sources of stone that meet all requirements of the specifications, including testing requirements.

Question 5

Will Indiana Oolitic Limestone be an acceptable stone source?

Answer 5:

The specifications and stone requirements for structural and coastal engineering applications will not be modified to accept Oolitic Limestone. However, Oolitic Limestone will be considered for the non-structural, decorative architectural "seating blocks" located in the park space of Peninsula Park.

Question 6:

Explain the extent of the graffiti resistant coatings.

Answer 6:

Graffiti resistant coatings are required only on the concrete steps, ramps, structures, and architectural stone elements within Peninsula Park. Graffiti resistant coatings are NOT required on the stone breakwater.

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS:

Value Engineering Provision, dated January 22, 2010

END OF ADDENDUM NO.3

Mayor Richard M. Daley, Chairman

Erin Lavin Cabonargi, Executive Director

PUBLIC BUILDING COMMISSION OF CHICAGO

ARTICLE 25. VALUE ENGINEERING

25.01 General.

The Contractor is encouraged to develop, prepare, and submit value engineering change proposals (VECP's) voluntarily. The Contractor shall share in any instant contract savings realized from accepted VECP's, in accordance with paragraph (25.06) below.

25.02 Definitions.

"Collateral costs," as used in the clause, means the Commission's costs of operation, maintenance, logistic support, or the Commissions' property.

"Collateral savings" as used in the clause, means those measurable net reductions resulting from a VECP in the Commission's overall projected collateral costs, exclusive of acquisition savings, whether or not the acquisition cost changes.

"Contractor's development and implementation costs," as used in the clause, means those costs the Contractor incurs on a VECP, specifically in developing, testing, preparing, and submitting the VECP, as well as those costs the Contractor incurs to make the contractual changes required by the Commission's acceptance.

"Commission costs," as used in this clause, means those costs incurred by the Commission that result directly from developing and implementing the VECP, such as any net increases in the cost of testing, operations, maintenance, and logistic support. The term does not include the normal administrative costs of processing the VECP.

"Instant contract savings," as used in this clause, means the estimated reduction in Contractor cost of performance resulting from acceptance of the VECP, minus allowable Contractor's development and implementation costs, including subcontractor's development and implementation costs.

"Value engineering change proposal (VECP)" means a proposal that—

- (1) Requires a change to this, the instant contract, to implement, and
- (2) Results in reducing the contract price or estimated cost without impairing essential functions or characteristics of the work.

25.03 VECP Preparation.

At a minimum, the Contractor shall include the information described in subparagraphs (1) thru (7) below. If the proposed change is affected by contractually required configuration management or similar procedures, the instructions in those procedures relating to format, identification, and priority assignment shall govern VECP preparation. The VECP shall include the following:

- (1) Description of the difference between the existing contract requirement and that proposed, including the comparative advantages and disadvantages of each. A justification when an item's function or characteristics are being altered and the effect of the change on the end item's performance.
- (2) A list and analysis of the contract requirements that must be changed if the VECP is accepted, including any suggested specification revisions.
- (3) A separate, detailed cost estimate for (25.06.2) the affected portions of the existing contract requirement and (25.06.2.b) the VECP. The cost reduction associated with the VECP shall take into account the Contractor's allowable development and implementation costs, including any amount attributable to subcontracts under paragraph (25.08) below.
- (4) A description and estimate of costs the Commission may incur in implementing the VECP, such as test and evaluation and operating and support costs.

Mayor Richard M. Daley, Chairman

Erin Lavin Cabonargi, Executive Director

PUBLIC BUILDING COMMISSION OF CHICAGO

- (5) A prediction of any effects the proposed change will have on collateral costs to the Commission.
- (6) A statement of the time by which a contract modification accepting the VECP must be issued in order to achieve the maximum cost reduction, noting any effect on the contract completion time or delivery schedule.
- (7) Identification of any previous submissions of the VECP, including the dates submitted, the agencies and contract numbers involved, and previous Commission actions, if known.

25.04 Submission.

The Contractor shall submit VECP's to the Resident Engineer at the worksite, with a copy to the Authorized Commission Representative.

25.05 Commission action.

1. The Authorized Commission Representative shall notify the Contractor of the status of the VECP within 15 calendar days after the contracting office receives it. If additional time is required, the Commission Representative shall notify the Contractor within the 15-day period and provide the reason for the delay and expected date of the decision. The Commission will process the VECP's expeditiously; however, it shall not be liable for any delay in acting upon VECP.

2. If the VECP is not accepted, the Authorized Commission Representative shall notify the Contractor in writing, explaining the reason for rejection. The Contractor may withdraw any VECP, in whole or in part, at any time before it is accepted by the Commission. The Contractor must provide written notification before undertaking significant expenditures (i.e., expenditures in excess of \$5,000) for any VECP Effort.

3. Any VECP may be accepted, in whole or in part, by the Commission's award of a modification to this contract citing this clause. The Authorized Commission Representative may accept the VECP, even though an agreement on price reduction has not been reached, by issuing the Contractor a notice to proceed with the change. Until a notice to proceed is issued or contract modification applies a VECP to this contract, the Contractor shall perform in accordance with the existing contract. The decision to accept or reject all or part of any VECP is a unilateral decision made solely at the discretion of the Authorized Commission Representative.

25.06 Sharing.

1. Rates. The Commission's share of savings is determined by subtracting Commission's costs from instant contracts savings and multiplying times 45 percent.

2. Payment. Payment of any share due the Contractor for use of a VECP on this contract shall be authorized by a modification to this contract to—

- a. Accept the VECP;
- b. Reduce the contract price or estimated costs by the amount of instant contract savings; and
- c. Provide the Contractor's share of savings by adding the amount calculated to the contract price or fee.

25.07 Subcontracts.

1. The Contractor shall include an appropriate value engineering clause in any subcontract of \$100,000.00 or more, and may include one in subcontracts of lesser value. In computing any adjustment in this contract's price under paragraph (25.06) above, the Contractor's allowable development and implementation costs shall include any subcontractor's allowable development and implementation costs clearly resulting from a VECP

Mayor Richard M. Daley, Chairman

Erin Lavin Cabonargi, Executive Director

PUBLIC BUILDING COMMISSION OF CHICAGO

accepted by the Commission Representative under this contract, but shall exclude any value engineering incentive payments to a subcontractor. The Contractor may choose any arrangement for subcontractor value engineering incentive payments; provided that these payments shall not reduce the Commissions share of the savings resulting from the VECP.

2. If the Contractor engages an architect, engineer or other consultant to provide professional services pertaining to the development and/or implementation of a VECP, the Contractor's subcontract with any such provider of professional services must name the Commission as a third-party beneficiary to such subcontract, and provide the Commission with a direct right of action against the subcontractor. The Commission and the User Department must also be named as additional insureds on any policy for professional liability insurance held by any such subcontractor for the performance of services on the VECP.

25.08 Data.

The Contractor may restrict the Commission's right to use any part of a VECP or the supporting data by marking the following legend on the affected parts.

"These data, furnished under the Value Engineering – Construction clause of contract, shall not be disclosed outside the Commission or duplicated, used, or disclosed, in whole or part, for any purpose other than to evaluate a value engineering change proposal submitted under the clause. This restriction does not limit the Commission's right to use information contained in these data if it has been obtained or is otherwise available from the Contractor or from another source without such limitations. "

If a VECP is accepted, the Contractor hereby grants the Commission unlimited rights in the VECP and supporting data, except that, with respect to data qualifying and submitted as limited right technical data, the Commission shall have the rights specified in the contract modification implementing the VECP and shall appropriately mark the data. (The terms "unlimited rights" and "limited rights" are defined in part 27 of the Federal Acquisition Regulations, available at www.acquisition.gov.)

END OF ARTICLE 25. VALUE ENGINEERING.