DATE: Thursday, March 25, 2010

CLARIFICATIONS

Clarification #1: Section 7.4 MBE/WBE Utilization Plan.

Delete from first paragraph:

"Respondent's must identify their MBE/WBE Participation Plan (M/WBE Plan) outlining all MBE and WBE firms proposed to be included on the Respondent team and the proposed role of each."

Insert as first sentence in second paragraph:

"Respondent's must identify their MBE/WBE Participation Plan (M/WBE Plan) outlining all MBE and WBE firms proposed to be included on the Respondent team and the proposed role of each. The following schedules and documents constitute the Respondent's M/WBE Plan and must be submitted with the response:

Schedule C: Letter of Intent to Perform as a Sub-Consultant or Material Supplier Schedule D: Affidavit of Prime Professional Service Provider Regarding MBE or WBE Utilization

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question #1: Under Section II. Request for Qualifications says to include relevant and recent experience

within the past five years while Section 7.2.E.2 asks for examples within the last ten years

- is the project experience limited to the last five years or ten years?

Answer #1: Project experience is to be limited to the last *five (5)* years.

Question #2: With the 'low', 'medium', and 'high' categories of expertise stated in the RFQ, is it PBC's

intent that architects of record include more than one consultant design engineer for a

discipline if needed to fulfill project size requirements?

Answer #2: No, it is the PBC's intent to review an AOR team per Section 7.2.C.

Question #3: Our firm is structured so each project is assigned an executive for each of three

disciplines: Management, Design & Technical. Should we include names/resumes for each of these disciplines in the "Corporate Executive" category listed in the RFQ?

Answer #3: There is no "maximum" number of resumes allowed.

Question #4: Is there a limit to the number of projects we can submit under 7.2 Experience and Past

Performance?

Answer #4: No, there is no limit to quantity of experience, although the desired relevant project

experience is within the last five (5) years.

Mayor Richard M. Daley, Chairman

Erin Lavin Cabonargi, Executive Director

ADDENDUM NO. 2

1 of 7

DATE: Friday, March 26, 2010

Question #5: Section 7.2.E.1 says to limit the description of each project to two pages – can we include

project photographs within the two pages?

Answer #5: Yes. The two page limit is for text and photos. The Form E1.1 is not included in the

two page limit.

Question #6: The "Categories of Expertise" and instructions in 7.2.E.1 serve as instructions for the 2

page project examples requested in 7.2.E.2. Corporate – Experience & Past Performance,

is that correct?

Answer #6: That is correct.

Question #7: Do I understand correctly that Respondent must provide one FORM E1.1 for each of the

three project categories: "Low" Single Discipline Additions and Renovations; "Medium "Multidiscipline Additions and Renovations; and "High" Multidiscipline/Multi-phase Additions

and Renovations?

Answer #7: That is correct.

Question #8: Respondent is also required to submit Corporate Experience and Past Performance that is

relevant to three categories of work. Are we also required to use FORM E1.1 for this

section/part, and is this redundant with the preceding part?

Answer #8: Form E1.1 should be included for each example of work in each category of

expertise.

Question #9: How do the requirements of these two parts differ?

Answer #9: They do not differ, but both are both descriptive subsets of 7.2.E Experience and

Past Performance, outlining how to categorize previous work experience.

Question #10: Are the project experience documents called for in the 7.2.E. Experience & Past

Performance section in addition to the Forms E.1.1?

Answer #10: Project experience documents are submitted as supplemental to those projects

described in Forms E1.1.

Question #11: What level of project detail is required for the relevant projects on the resumes in the 3

categories? A list of projects in each category or the same project-specific information as 7.2.E.1 last paragraph on pg. 15 of 69 – which seems like a lot of information for each

project if we are limited to 2 pages per team member.

Answer #11: The last paragraph of 7.2.E.1 describes what is requested for submittal of project

experience. This level of detail would not be necessary for resumes of individuals.

Question #12: Please clarify instructions for Attachment E1 and E1.1 (Level of Project Complexity). In the "Requirements" (bottom of pg. 17 of 69) it says for 7.7 Level of Project Complexity – FORM E1: "Provide one form E1.1 for every level of project complexity indicated on Level of Project Complexity – Form E1". However, Attachment E, Form E1 is basically a blank page that says "Form E1 follows this page for completion by Respondent". So, is there a Form E1, and if so what information should be included (e.g. a list of relevant projects in the 3 categories, to be backed up by a corresponding E.1.1 Form for each)? Or, is it sufficient to provide the completed E1.1 Forms to satisfy this section?

Answer #12: There is only one Form; it is labeled Form E1.1. All references to Form E1 and E1.1 are referencing the same form, Form E1.1.

Question #13: Section 7.7 Level of Project Complexity - Form E1. We are asking all of our subconsultants to complete this form for each level of complexity - is this accurate? Do the subs need to fill these out or just the AOR?

Answer #13: One Form E1.1 should be filled out per project. Only the Respondent is required to complete Form(s) E1.1. See Definitions for meaning of "Respondent."

Question #14: Can we include more than 1 consultant per discipline to allow us flexibility in responding to specific project requirements?

Answer #14: Yes, that is acceptable.

Question #15: Section 7.7 Level of Project Complexity: Attachment E, Relevant Experience Form E1.1: Please clarify if:

We are to complete a copy of this form for each of our projects that have been completed in the past 5 years or are we to complete this form for select projects that demonstrate our ability to meeting a variety of project complexities and as identified in 7.2. E.1 Categories of Expertise?

Answer #15: One Form E1.1 should be filled out for each project you would like considered as relevant experience for the proscribed category. Any / all work submitted should have been completed within the last five (5) years.

Question #16: Does the PBC expect LEED Consulting to be provided by an outside consultant to our firm? Or can this be provided by our in-house LEED Accredited Designers?

Answer #16: LEED Consulting Services can be provided by either an outside or in-house LEED Accredited Professional.

Question #17: Should the AOR include an environmental services consultant on our team for the submittal?

Answer #17: No. See Section 4.1 Intent or 7.2.D. Organization for basic service team members. Question #18: Is the AOR required to include a cost estimator on our team? Answer #18: No. See Section 4.1 Intent or 7.2.D. Organization for basic service team members. Question #19: On page 48 of 69, Performance Standard, it makes reference of the Commission Design Architect. Please clarify that the AOR and Design Architect are one in the same. Answer #19: Under Section II. Request for Qualifications, General Information, it is noted that at a future date, the PBC may select a Design Architect for Renovations from the pool of AORs resulting from this RFQ. Question #20: Attachment E, Relevant Experience Form E1.1: Are consultants required to complete this Answer #20: No. Only the Respondent is required to complete Form(s) E1.1. See Definitions for meaning of "Respondent." Questions #21: Pages 15 and 16 list all the detailed information that should be included for each project we submit under Section 7.2. E. Experience and Past Performance. Not all of this information is requested on Attachment E – Form E1.1. Do we still need to include this information (principal-in-charge, day-to-day technical project manager, AOR fee, etc.) on Form E1.1? Answer #21: Yes, either in the body of Form E1.1 or on project information sheets. Question #22: On Form E1.1, does "Dollar Value" refer to the construction cost or the total fee for the project? Answer #22: Construction cost. Question #23: Does "Self Performed" refer to our company's fee? Answer #23: Yes. Question #24: Section 7.2.E.2 Corporate-Experience. Does this information also need to be submitted for the structural, civil, MEP/FP, landscape and LEED consultants? Answer #24: Selections will be made on the basis of the Respondent's experience. See Definitions for meaning of "Respondent." Question #25: Please confirm that in addition to Exhibit 1 and individual resumes for key personnel, that consultants should be providing corporate experience.

Mayor Richard M. Daley, Chairman

Erin Lavin Cabonargi, Executive Director

DATE: Friday, March 26, 2010

Answer #25:

Definitions for meaning of "Respondent."

Only the Respondent is required to provide evidence of corporate experience. See

Question #26: On the Key Team Member Matrix (as given in Exhibit 1 sample), for projects in the 3

categories of experience for each Key Team Member, are we to list specific projects within the 3 categories, or check the column for each category that applies to each Key Team

individual?

Answer #26: Respondent is to include the *number of projects* completed by each Key Personnel

in each of the 3 Categories of Expertise within the last five years.

Question #27: Should we provide resumes and information on the Key Team Members Matrix ONLY for

the personnel performing the roles defined in 1-7? Typically firms would have multiple staff members assigned to a project, but you are not requiring names/resumes for those

individuals at this time, is that correct?

Answer #27: Resumes are required for Key Team Members.

Question #28: Can the Financial Statement documentation you request be provided in a separate, sealed

envelope, not to be opened until potential contract negotiation?

Answer #28: No. Section 6.2 Evaluation Criteria delineates the categories that each Respondent

is reviewed against to determine each firm's qualifications. Item C. Financial

Strength is one of the published categories.

Question #29: On page 50 of 69, Term, Will there be just one project awarded to an AOR for this

contract?

Answer #29: The PBC is soliciting responses to this Request for Qualification (RFQ) to perform

AOR Services on potential addition, renovation or addition-renovation work on educational *projects* developed through the PBC. The PBC will assign work to Respondents who have been selected to participate in the pre-qualified pool of

AORs for Addition and Renovation of Facilities - PS1799.

Question #30: The RFQ states that we should submit an original and 6 copies. Is the CD considered one

of those 6 copies?

Answer #30: The CD is not counted as one of the 6 copies.

Question #31: Pages 14-16 of the "Submittal Requirements" are labeled A-E (under Section 7.2). On

page 17, the remaining requirements are listed as Section 7.3, 7.4, etc. Should we use

that numbering or continue with Sections F, G, H, etc.?

Answer #31: Section 7: SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS remaining numbering system is corrected

to read:

7.2. F. Financial Strength

7.2. G. M/WBE Utilization Plan

7.2. H. Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) Plan

7.2. I. Insurance Requirements

7.2. J. Level of Project Complexity – FORM E1

Mayor Richard M. Daley, Chairman

Erin Lavin Cabonargi, Executive Director

ADDENDUM NO. 2

5 of 7

DATE: Friday, March 26, 2010

Question #32: For the resumes you state that "Respondents shall indicate the experience and quality of past performance of team members". Presumably "experience" would be covered by relevant project examples. What are you looking for to demonstrate "*quality* of past performance"?

Answer #32: The PBC will conduct reference checks. A revised FORM E1.1 is attached to this Addendum 2 which includes a line for Owner/Agency Contact Person e-mail address and telephone number.

Question #33: Instructions on Form E1.1 say to "indicate what type of procurement was used". However, there is not a specific space for that on Form E1.1. Where should that information be provided?

Answer #33: A revised FORM E1.1 is attached to this Addendum 2 which includes a line to indicate the type of procurement used.

Question #34: Are Attachments A (References), B (Legal Actions), G (Disclosure of Retained Parties) and H (Disclosure Affidavit) required for the *Prime only*?

Answer #34: Attachments A, B, G and H are required to be submitted by the Respondent only. If the Respondent is a Joint Venture, Attachments A, B, G and H are to be submitted by both Joint Venture partners.

Question #35: In section VII item 7.6 Insurance Requirements it is implied that the \$5 Million coverage (each for Professional Liability and Commercial Liability) does not have to be in place in order to be pre-qualified, but we must show proof that we can obtain the required insurance if a project is awarded. Is this assessment correct?

Answer #35: Yes.

Question #36: Due to dollar amount of projects, may Professional Liability Insurance be reduced from \$5,000,000 to \$2,000,000?

Answer #36: It is a requirement of Chicago Public Schools that Professional Liability Insurance be maintained on all projects at \$5,000,000.

Question #37: Do the financial statements need to be included in the original submittal and all 6 copies of the submittal or is this to be included once in the original submittal only?

Answer #37: Respondent is required to submit one hard copy of the financial statements. If the Respondent is a Joint Venture, financial statements are to be submitted by both Joint Venture partners.

Question #38: Can we use CPS as a reference?

Answer #38: Yes.

Mayor Richard M. Daley, Chairman Erin Lavin Cabonargi, Executive Director

ADDENDUM NO. 2 6 of 7 DATE: Friday, March 26, 2010

Question #39: Section 4.2 Item 3; refers to 4 phases but only delineates 2 (CA and closeout), please

confirm that only 2 phases are to be considered.

Answer #39: The reference to 4 phases is a typographical error and should read: (2).

Question #40: May we recreate the forms electronically, or are they available in Word format?

Answer #40: You may recreate REVELANT EXPERIENCE - FORM E.1.1 and/or EXHIBIT #1

("Forms") but substance of Forms cannot be changed or forms will not be considered. Submit an e-mail request to Rosalinda "Rusty" Castillo at rusty.castillo@cityofchicago.org to receive either FORM E.1.1 in a workable Word

format or Exhibit 1 in a workable Excel file.

ATTACHMENTS:

- 1. Revised EXHIBIT 1
- 2. Revised RELEVANT EXPERIENCE FORM E1.1
- 3. Schedule C: Letter of Intent to Perform as a Sub-Consultant or Material Supplier
- 4. Schedule D: Affidavit of Prime Professional Service Provider Regarding MBE or WBE Utilization

END OF ADDENDUM NO. 2

REVISED EXHIBIT 1

KEY TEAM MEMBERS MATRIX

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATION FOR ACHITIECT OF RECORD SERVICES
FOR ADDITION AND REINOVATION OF FACILITIES

PS1799

_		_		_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	
COMMENTS													
N SECTION 7.2 HE LAST 5 YEARS	Trigh' Mult Decipline Additions and Renovations: approximate coett angle between \$3,000,000 - \$12,000,000												
CATEGORIES OF EXPERTISE AS DEFINED IN SECTION 7.2 NUMBER OF PROJECTS COMPLÉTED WITHIN THE LAST SYEARS	luit Discipline nd Renovations: e construction cost												
CATEGORIES O NUMBER OF PROJE	Tow Singe Discipline Additions an Additions and Renovations: approximate construction cost (mage between \$60.500,000 \$3.000,000												
NUMBER OF TOTAL YEARS OF YEARS WITH PROFESSIONAL FIRM EXPERIENCE													
NUMBER OF YEARS OF YEARS WITH PROFESSIGN FIRM													
REQUIRED KEY PERSONNEL		AOR	AOR/PROJECT MANAGER	CIVIL ENGINEER	LANDSCAPE CONSULTANT	STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING	MEP/FP ENGINEERING	SUSTAINABILITY (LEED) CONSULTANT					
ROLE IN TEAM (PRIME OR SUBCONSULTANT)													
ROLE IN TEAM (PRIME KEY PERSONNEL NAME/FIRM OR SUBCONSULTANT) REQUIRED KEY PERSONNEL													

SAMPLE FORM

REVISED ATTACHMENT E

RELEVANT EXPERIENCE – FORM E1.1

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS FOR ARCHITECT OF RECORD SERVICES FOR ADDITION AND RENOVATION OF FACILITIES PS1799

Provide one Form E1.1 for every level of project complexity indicated on Level of Project Complexity – FORM E1 and indicate what type of procurement was used: professional services agreement; contract; or other form. Make copies of this form as necessary.

Include all these projects in terms of dollars to the Respondent that were completed in the last five (5) years. Experience may not be considered if the project is not completed as of the date that this form is submitted.

Indicate whether the Respondent or a team mer Respondent team member:	mber participated in this project: Respondent or
Indicate (check one) the status of the Respondent	t for the above participant in this project:
PrimeJoint VenturePartner _	SubconsultantSubcontractorSupplier
Project Title:	Dollar Value \$
Location:	
	Type of Procurement:
Owner/Agency:	Contact Person:
Address:	Address:
E-mail:	Telephone:
Other contacts (specify name, organization, addre	ess, and phone number)
Describe the project scope and type of work that voldentify key personnel of the Respondent that wer	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

PUBLIC BUILDING COMMISSION OF CHICAGO

Contract No.

SCHEDULE C - Letter of Intent from MBE/WBE To Perform As Subcontractor, Subconsultant, and/or Material Supplier (2 of 2)

Subcontractor, Subconsultant, and/or Mat PARTIAL PAY ITEMS

For any of the above items that are partial subcontract dollar amount:	pay items, specifically describe the work and
If more space is needed to fully describe the payment schedule, attach additional sheet(s).	MBE/WBE firm's proposed scope of work and/or
SUB-SUBCONTRACTING LEVELS	
% of the dollar value of the MBE/WE contractors.	BE subcontract will be sublet to non-MBE/WBE
% of the dollar value of the MBEA contractors.	WBE subcontract will be sublet to MBE/WBE
Schedule, a zero (0) must be filled in each blank	abcontracting any of the work described in this above. If more than 10% percent of the value of above, a brief explanation and description of
conditioned upon its execution of a contract with	nent for the above work with the General Bidder, and the Public Building Commission of Chicago, and eccipt of a notice of Contract award from the
Name of MBE/WBE Firm (Print)	Signature
Date	Name (Print)
Phone	
IF APPLICABLE: By:	
Joint Venture Partner (Print)	Signature
Date	Name (Print) MBE WBE Non-MBE/WBE
Phone	MDD WDD HOII-MDD W DE

PUBLIC BUILDING COMMISSION OF CHICAGO

Contract No.

SCHEDULE D - Affidavit of Professional Service Provider Regarding MBE/WBE Participation (1 of 2)

Name of Project:						
STATE OF ILLINOIS } SS COUNTY OF COOK } In connection with the above-caption the	oned contract, I HEREBY DEC	LARE AND AFF	IRM that I am			
Title and duly authorized representative of	of					
Name of Professional Service Provious whose address is	der					
in the City of	ove-referenced Contract, included is a statement of the extent	ding Schedule C to which MBE/	and Schedule			
Name of MBE/WBE Contractor	Type of Work to be Done in Accordance with Schedule C	Dollar Credit Toward MBE/WBE Goals				
		MBE	WBE			

Name of MBE/WBE Contractor	Type of Work to be Done in Accordance with Schedule C	Dollar Credit Toward MBE/WBE Goals					
	Accordance with ochedule o	MBE	WBE				
		\$	\$				
		\$	\$				
		\$	\$				
	<u></u>	\$	\$				
		\$	\$				
		\$	\$				
•		\$	\$				
Total Net MBE/WBE Credit		\$	\$				
	Percent of Total Base Bid	%	%				

The Professional Service Provider may count toward its MBE/WBE goal a portion of the total dollar value of a contract with a joint venture equal to the percentage of the ownership and control of the MBE/WBE partner.

PUBLIC BUILDING COMMISSION OF CHICAGO

Contract No.

SCHEDULE D - Affidavit of Professional Service Provider Regarding MBE/WBE Participation (2 of 2)

SUB-SUBCONTRACTING LEVELS % of the dollar value of the MBE/WBE subcontract will be sublet to non-MBE/WBE contractors. % of the dollar value of the MBE/WBE subcontract will be sublet to MBE/WBE contractors. If MBE/WBE subcontractor will not be sub-subcontracting any of the work described in this Schedule, a zero (0) must be filled in each blank above. If more than 10% of the value of the MBE/WBE subcontractor's scope of work will be sublet, a brief explanation and description of the work to be sublet must be provided. The undersigned will enter into a formal agreement for the above work with the above-referenced MBE/WBE firms, conditioned upon performance as Professional Service Provider of a Contract with the Commission, and will do so within five (5) business days of receipt of a notice of Contract award from the Commission. By: Name of Professional Service Provider (Print) Signature Name (Print) Date Phone IF APPLICABLE: By: Signature Joint Venture Partner (Print) Name (Print) Date

Phone/FAX

MBE ____ WBE ____ Non-MBE/WBE ___